UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/747,924	12/29/2003	Jeffrey Mark LaFortune	19457	7068	
23556 7590 01/16/2007 KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			MATZEK, MATTHEW D		
NEENAH, WI 5	04906		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		
				··	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	01/16/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/16/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Kimberly-Clark.Docket@kcc.com catherine.wolf@kcc.com

·		V					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/747,924	LAFORTUNE, JEFFREY MARK					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew D. Matzek	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI atute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	October 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-34 is/are pending in the	application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-19</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-19 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	·					
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2003	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bur							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•							
Attachment(s)	 □						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/747,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment dated 10/20/2006 has been fully considered and entered into the Record. Claim 3 has been canceled. Claims 20-34 have been withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1, 2 and 4-19 are currently active. The 112 2nd paragraph rejection of claim 2 has been withdrawn due to amendment. The previously applied provisional double patenting rejection has been withdrawn as the applied application teaches the use of corona discharge. The previously applied art rejections have been withdrawn as the prior art of Record failed to teach using a coating or chemical treatment to provide surface charges to at least one component of an absorbent composite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-12 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McFarland et al. (US 4,604,313) in view of Sheu et al. (US 5,700,559).
 - a. McFarland et al. teach an absorbent article comprising polymeric and wood fibers (Abstract). The article comprises a first layer comprising polymeric and wood fibers, but no SAPs and at least one additional layer of the same make up as the first, except it does include SAPs. The first layer acts to aid in trapping of any super-absorbent which is not immediately entangled in the meltblown and wood fibers and prevents its passing through the forming belt. The first layer also is the preferred body side in use as it will not be slimy and will feel drier than the super-absorbent containing side (col. 2, lines 26-48).

Application/Control Number: 10/747,924

Art Unit: 1771

The Example details the use of fluff cellulosic fibers. The applied invention is silent as to the treatment of the components of the absorbent article to modify their charge.

- b. Sheu et al. teach the process of making an absorbent article more hydrophilic by using an ionic polymeric layer with a polyelectrolyte coating upon the polymeric layer (Abstract). The hydrophilicity that is gained by treating articles with corona discharge and plasma exposure degenerates after treatment (col. 1, lines 6-13). The process of Sheu et al. does not suffer from such limitations (col. 3, lines 18-26) and may be used in diapers to make the article hydrophilic, wettable and wickable (col. 5, lines 62-65).
- c. Since McFarland et al. and Sheu et al. are from the same field of endeavor, (i.e. absorbent articles) the purpose disclosed by Sheu et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of McFarland et al.
- d. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have coated the first layer of the McFarland et al. invention with the ionic coating of Sheu et al. The skilled artisan would have been motivated by the desire to create an absorbent article with retained wettability and wickability as described by Sheu et al.
- e. Claim 5 is rejected as the treatment may be restricted to the external surface of the article, which contains no SAPs. Claims 7-10 are rejected as they properties are necessarily present following the treatments taught by Sheu et al.
- 3. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McFarland et al. (US 4,604,313) in view of Sheu et al. (US 5,700,559) as applied to claim 1

Application/Control Number: 10/747,924

Art Unit: 1771

above, and further in view of Kellenberger (US 5,147,343). McFarland et al. and Sheu et al. are silent as to the specific size of the superabsorbent particles and their size distribution.

- a. Kellenberger teaches an absorbent composite comprising a porous matrix of fibers and superabsorbent (SAP) material (Abstract). Several examples are taught by Kellenberger including Example VIII with 57% of the SAP particles between 300 and 600 micrometers.
- b. Since McFarland et al. and Kellenberger are from the same field of endeavor, (i.e. absorbent composites comprising a porous matrix of fibers and superabsorbent (SAP) material) the purpose disclosed by Kellenberger would have been recognized in the pertinent art of McFarland et al.
- c. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the article of McFarland et al. with over 50% of the SAP particles with a size between 300-600 microns with the motivation of creating an article with sufficient permeability and surface area for absorption.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 4-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/747,924 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew D. Matzek whose telephone number is (571) 272-2423. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mdm yo

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

1/5/07